

ON SPECIAL TYPES OF MINIMAL AND TOTALLY GEODESIC UNIT VECTOR FIELDS

ALEXANDER YAMPOLSKY

*Geometry Department, Kharkov National University
61077 Kharkov, Ukraine*

Abstract. We present a new equation with respect to a unit vector field on Riemannian manifold M^n such that its solution defines a totally geodesic submanifold in the unit tangent bundle with Sasaki metric and apply it to some classes of unit vector fields. We introduce a class of covariantly normal unit vector fields and prove that within this class the Hopf vector field is a unique global one with totally geodesic property. For the wider class of geodesic unit vector fields on a sphere we give a new necessary and sufficient condition to generate a totally geodesic submanifold in T_1S^n .

Key words: *Sasaki metric, minimal unit vector field, totally geodesic unit vector field, strongly normal unit vector field, Sasakian space form.*

AMS subject class: Primary 53B20, 53B25; Secondary 53C25.

1. Introduction

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give definitions of harmonic and minimal unit vector fields, rough Hessian and harmonicity tensor for the unit vector field. In Section 3 we give definition of a totally geodesic unit vector field and prove a basic Lemma 2 which gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the unit vector field to be totally geodesic. The Theorem 2 contains a necessary and sufficient condition on strongly normal unit vector field to be minimal. In Section 4 we we apply the Lemma 2 to the case of a unit sphere (Lemma 4) and describe the geodesic unit vector fields on the sphere with totally geodesic property (Theorem 5). We also introduce a notion of covariantly normal unit vector field and prove that within this class the Hopf vector field is a unique one with a totally geodesic property (Theorem 3). This theorem is a revised and simplified version of Theorem 2.1 from [27]. The Section 5 contains an observation that the Hopf vector field on a unit sphere provides an example of global imbedding of Sasakian space form into Sasakian manifold as a Sasakian space form (Theorem 6).

2. Some Preliminaries

2.1. Sasaki Metric

Let (M, g) be n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with metric g . Denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ a scalar product with respect to g . A natural Riemannian metric on the tangent bundle has been defined by S. Sasaki [20]. We describe it briefly in terms of the *connection map*.

At each point $Q = (q, \xi) \in TM$ the tangent space $T_Q TM$ can be split into the so-called *vertical* and *horizontal* parts:

$$T_Q TM = \mathcal{H}_Q TM \oplus \mathcal{V}_Q TM.$$

The vertical part $\mathcal{V}_Q TM$ is tangent to the fiber, while the horizontal part is transversal to it. If $(u^1, \dots, u^n; \xi^1, \dots, \xi^n)$ form the natural induced local coordinate system on TM , then for $\tilde{X} \in T_Q TM^n$ we have

$$\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}^i \partial / \partial u^i + \tilde{X}^{n+i} \partial / \partial \xi^i$$

with respect to the natural frame $\{\partial / \partial u^i, \partial / \partial \xi^i\}$ on TM .

Denote by $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$ the tangent bundle projection map. Then its differential $\pi_* : T_Q TM \rightarrow T_q M$ acts on \tilde{X} as $\pi_* \tilde{X} = \tilde{X}^i \partial / \partial x^i$ and defines a linear isomorphism between $\mathcal{V}_Q TM$ and $T_q M$.

The so-called *connection map* $K : T_Q TM \rightarrow T_q M$ acts on \tilde{X} by the rule $K \tilde{X} = (\tilde{X}^{n+i} + \Gamma_{jk}^i \xi^j \tilde{X}^k) \partial / \partial u^i$ and defines a linear isomorphism between $\mathcal{H}_Q TM$ and $T_q M$. The images $\pi_* \tilde{X}$ and $K \tilde{X}$ are called *horizontal* and *vertical* projections of \tilde{X} , respectively. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{V}_Q = \ker \pi_*|_Q$, $\mathcal{H}_Q = \ker K|_Q$.

Let $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in T_Q TM$. The *Sasaki metric* on TM is defined by the following scalar product

$$\langle \langle \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \rangle \rangle|_Q = \langle \pi_* \tilde{X}, \pi_* \tilde{Y} \rangle|_q + \langle K \tilde{X}, K \tilde{Y} \rangle|_q$$

at each point $Q = (q, \xi)$. Horizontal and vertical subspaces are mutually orthogonal with respect to Sasaki metric.

The operations inverse to projections are called *lifts*. Namely, if $X \in T_q M^n$, then $X^h = X^i \partial / \partial u^i - \Gamma_{jk}^i \xi^j X^k \partial / \partial \xi^i$ is in $\mathcal{H}_Q TM$ and is called the *horizontal lift* of X , and $X^v = X^i \partial / \partial \xi^i$ is in $\mathcal{V}_Q TM$ and is called the *vertical lift* of X .

The Sasaki metric can be completely defined by scalar product of combinations of lifts of vector fields from M to TM as

$$\langle \langle X^h, Y^h \rangle \rangle|_Q = \langle X, Y \rangle|_q, \quad \langle \langle X^h, Y^v \rangle \rangle|_Q = 0, \quad \langle \langle X^v, Y^v \rangle \rangle|_Q = \langle X, Y \rangle|_q.$$

2.2. Harmonic and Minimal Unit Vector Fields

Suppose, as above, that $u := (u^1, \dots, u^n)$ are the local coordinates on M^n . Denote by $(u, \xi) := (u^1, \dots, u^n; \xi^1, \dots, \xi^n)$ the natural local coordinates in the tangent bundle TM^n . If $\xi(u)$ is a (unit) vector field on M^n , then it defines a mapping

$$\xi : M^n \rightarrow TM^n \quad \text{or} \quad \xi : M^n \rightarrow T_1M^n, \quad \text{if } |\xi| = 1,$$

given by $\xi(u) = (u, \xi(u))$.

For the mappings $f : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ between Riemannian manifolds the *energy* of f is defined as

$$E(f) := \frac{1}{2} \int_M |df|^2 dVol_M,$$

where $|df|$ is a norm of 1-form df in the co-tangent bundle T^*M . Supposing on T_1M the Sasaki metric, the following definition becomes natural.

Definition 1. A unit vector field is called *harmonic*, if it is a critical point of energy functional of mapping $\xi : M^n \rightarrow T_1M^n$.

Up to an additive constant, the energy functional of the mapping the is a total bending of a unit vector field [24]

$$B(\xi) := c_n \int_M |\nabla \xi|^2 dVol_M,$$

where c_n is some normalizing constant and $|\nabla \xi|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\nabla_{e_i} \xi|^2$ with respect to orthonormal frame e_1, \dots, e_n .

Introduce a point-wise linear operator $A_\xi : T_qM^n \rightarrow \xi_q^\perp$, acting as

$$A_\xi X = -\nabla_X \xi.$$

In case of integrable distribution ξ^\perp , the unit vector field ξ is called *holonomic* [1]. In this case the operator A_ξ is symmetric and is known as Weingarten or a *shape operator* for each hypersurface of the foliation. In general, A_ξ is not symmetric, but formally preserves the Codazzi equation. Namely, a covariant derivative of A_ξ is defined by

$$-(\nabla_X A_\xi)Y = \nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} \xi. \quad (1)$$

Then for the curvature operator of M^n we can write down the Codazzi-type equation

$$R(X, Y)\xi = (\nabla_Y A_\xi)X - (\nabla_X A_\xi)Y.$$

From this viewpoint, it is natural to call the operator A_ξ as *non-holonomic shape operator*. Remark, that the right hand side is, up to constant, a *skew symmetric part* of covariant derivative of A_ξ .

Introduce a symmetric tensor field

$$Hess_\xi(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} [(\nabla_Y A_\xi)X + (\nabla_X A_\xi)Y], \quad (2)$$

which is a *symmetric part* of covariant derivative of A_ξ . The trace

$$-\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Hess}_\xi(e_i, e_i) := \Delta\xi,$$

where e_1, \dots, e_n is an orthonormal frame, is known as *rough Laplacian* [2] of the field ξ . Therefore, one can treat the tensor field (2) as a *rough Hessian* of the field.

With respect to given above notations, the unit vector field is harmonic if and only if [24]

$$\Delta\xi = -|\nabla\xi|^2\xi.$$

Introduce a tensor field

$$Hm_\xi(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}[R(\xi, A_\xi X)Y + R(\xi, A_\xi Y)X], \quad (3)$$

which is a symmetric part of tensor field $R(\xi, A_\xi X)Y$. The trace

$$\text{trace } Hm_\xi := \sum_{i=1}^n Hm_\xi(e_i, e_i)$$

is responsible for harmonicity of mapping $\xi : M^n \rightarrow T_1M^n$ in terms of general notion of harmonic maps [10]. Precisely, a *harmonic* unit vector field ξ defines a *harmonic mapping* $\xi : M^n \rightarrow T_1M^n$ if and only if [11]

$$\text{trace } Hm_\xi = 0.$$

From this viewpoint, it is natural to call the tensor field (3) as *harmonicity tensor* of the field ξ .

Consider now the image $\xi(M^n) \subset T_1M^n$ with a pull-back Sasaki metric.

Definition 2. A unit vector field ξ on Riemannian manifold M^n is called minimal if the image of (local) imbedding $\xi : M^n \rightarrow T_1M^n$ is minimal submanifold in the unit tangent bundle T_1M^n with Sasaki metric.

A number of results on minimal unit vector fields one can find in [4, 5, 6, 8, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 21, 22, 23]. In [25], the author has found explicitly the second fundamental form of $\xi(M^n)$ and presented some examples of unit vector fields of *constant mean curvature*.

3. Totally Geodesic Unit Vector Fields

Definition 3. A unit vector field ξ on Riemannian manifold M^n is called totally geodesic if the image of (local) imbedding $\xi : M^n \rightarrow T_1M^n$ is totally geodesic submanifold in the unit tangent bundle T_1M^n with Sasaki metric.

Using the explicit expression for the second fundamental form [25], the author gave a full description of the totally geodesic (local) unit vector fields on 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold.

Theorem 1. [28] *Let (M^2, g) be a Riemannian manifold with a sign-preserving Gaussian curvature K . Then M admits a totally geodesic unit vector field ξ if and only if there is a local parametrization of M with respect to which the metric g is of the form*

$$ds^2 = du^2 + \sin^2 \alpha(u) dv^2,$$

where $\alpha(u)$ solves the differential equation $\frac{d\alpha}{du} = 1 - \frac{a+1}{\cos \alpha}$. The corresponding local unit vector field ξ is of the form

$$\xi = \cos(av + \omega_0) \partial_u + \frac{\sin(av + \omega_0)}{\sin \alpha(u)} \partial_v,$$

where $a, \omega_0 = \text{const.}$

For the case of flat Riemannian 2-manifold, the totally geodesic unit vector field is either parallel or moves helically along a pencil of parallel straight lines on a plane with a constant angle speed [26]. It is easy to see that the following corollary is true.

Corollary 1. *Integral trajectories of a totally geodesic (local) unit vector field on the non-flat Riemannian manifold M^2 are locally conformally equivalent to the integral trajectories of totally geodesic unit vector field on a plane. Moreover, with respect to Cartesian coordinates (x, y) on the plane, these integral trajectories are*

$$\begin{aligned} x &= c & \text{for } a=0, \\ y(x) &= -\frac{1}{a} \ln |\sin(ax)| + c & \text{for } a \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where c is a parameter.

In what follows, we present a new differential equation with respect to a unit vector field such that its solution generates a totally geodesic submanifold in T_1M^n .

In terms of horizontal and vertical lifts of vector fields from the base to its tangent bundle, the differential of mapping $\xi : M^n \rightarrow TM^n$ is acting as

$$\xi_*X = X^h + (\nabla_X \xi)^v = X^h - (A_\xi X)^v, \quad (4)$$

where ∇ means Levi-Civita connection on M^n and the lifts are considered to points of $\xi(M^n)$.

It is well known that if ξ is a unit vector field on M^n , then the vertical lift ξ^v is a unit normal vector field on a hypersurface $T_1M^n \subset TM^n$. Since ξ is of unit length, $\xi_*X \perp \xi^v$ and hence in this case $\xi_* : TM^n \rightarrow T(T_1M^n)$.

Denote by $A_\xi^t : \xi_q^\perp \rightarrow T_q M^n$ a formal adjoint operator

$$\langle A_\xi X, Y \rangle_q = \langle X, A_\xi^t Y \rangle_q.$$

Denote by ξ^\perp a distribution on M^n with ξ as its normal unit vector field. Then for each vector field $N \in \xi^\perp$, the vector field

$$\tilde{N} = (A_\xi^t N)^h + N^v \quad (5)$$

is normal to $\xi(M^n)$. Thus, (5) presents the normal distribution on $\xi(M^n)$.

Lemma 1. *Let M^n be Riemannian manifold and $T_1 M^n$ its unit tangent bundle with Sasaki metric. Let ξ a smooth (local) unit vector field on M^n . The second fundamental form $\tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{N}}$ of $\xi(M^n) \subset T_1 M^n$ with respect to the normal vector field (5) is of the form*

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{N}}(\xi_* X, \xi_* Y) = -\langle \text{Hess}_\xi(X, Y) + A_\xi \text{Hm}_\xi(X, Y), N \rangle, \quad (6)$$

where X and Y are arbitrary vector fields on M^n .

Proof: By definition, we have

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{N}}(\xi_* X, \xi_* Y) = \langle \langle \tilde{\nabla}_{\xi_* X} \xi_* Y, \tilde{N} \rangle \rangle_{(q, \xi(q))},$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of Sasaki metric on TM^n . To calculate $\tilde{\nabla}_{\xi_* X} \xi_* Y$, we can use the formulas [18]

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{X^h} Y^h &= (\nabla_X Y)^h - \frac{1}{2}(R(X, Y)\xi)^v, & \tilde{\nabla}_{X^v} Y^h &= \frac{1}{2}(R(\xi, X)Y)^h, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_{X^h} Y^v &= (\nabla_X Y)^v + \frac{1}{2}(R(\xi, Y)X)^h, & \tilde{\nabla}_{X^v} Y^v &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

A direct calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_{\xi_* X} \xi_* Y &= \left(\nabla_X Y + \frac{1}{2}R(\xi, \nabla_X \xi)Y + \frac{1}{2}R(\xi, \nabla_Y \xi)X \right)^h + \\ &\quad \left(\nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi - \frac{1}{2}R(X, Y)\xi \right)^v. \end{aligned}$$

The derivative above is not tangent to $\xi(M^n)$. It contains a projection on "external" normal vector field, i.e. on ξ^v which is a unit normal of $T_1 M^n$ inside TM^n . To correct the situation, we should subtract this projection, namely $-\langle \nabla_X \xi, \nabla_Y \xi \rangle \xi$, from the vertical part of the derivative.

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{N}}(\xi_* X, \xi_* Y) &= \langle \nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi + \langle \nabla_X \xi, \nabla_Y \xi \rangle \xi - \frac{1}{2}R(X, Y)\xi, N \rangle + \\ &\quad \langle \nabla_X Y + \frac{1}{2}R(\xi, \nabla_X \xi)Y + \frac{1}{2}R(\xi, \nabla_Y \xi)X, A_\xi^t N \rangle \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{N}}(\xi_*X, \xi_*Y) = & \langle \nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi + \langle \nabla_X \xi, \nabla_Y \xi \rangle \xi - \frac{1}{2} R(X, Y) \xi + \\ & A_\xi(\nabla_X Y + \frac{1}{2} R(\xi, \nabla_X \xi) Y + \frac{1}{2} R(\xi, \nabla_Y \xi) X), N \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (1), (2), (3) and (5), and also

$$R(X, Y) \xi = \nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi - \nabla_Y \nabla_X \xi - \nabla_{[X, Y]} \xi,$$

we can write

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{N}}(\xi_*X, \xi_*Y) = -\langle Hess_\xi(X, Y) + A_\xi Hm_\xi(X, Y), N \rangle$$

which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2. *Let M^n be Riemannian manifold and T_1M^n its unit tangent bundle with Sasaki metric. Let ξ be a smooth (local) unit vector field on M^n . The vector field ξ generates a totally geodesic submanifold $\xi(M^n) \subset T_1M^n$ if and only if ξ satisfies*

$$Hess_\xi(X, Y) + A_\xi Hm_\xi(X, Y) - \langle A_\xi X, A_\xi Y \rangle \xi = 0 \quad (7)$$

for all (local) vector fields X, Y on M^n .

Proof: Taking into account (6), the condition on ξ to be totally geodesic takes the form

$$-Hess_\xi(X, Y) - A_\xi Hm_\xi(X, Y) = \lambda \xi.$$

Multiplying the equation above by ξ , we can find easily $\lambda = -\langle A_\xi X, A_\xi Y \rangle$. \square

Follow [16], we call a unit vector field ξ *strongly normal* if

$$\langle (\nabla_X A_\xi) Y, Z \rangle = 0$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \xi^\perp$. In other words, $(\nabla_X A_\xi) Y = \lambda \xi$ for all $X, Y \in \xi^\perp$. It is easy to find the function λ . Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda = \langle (\nabla_X A_\xi) Y, \xi \rangle &= \langle \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} \xi - \nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi, \xi \rangle = \\ &= -\langle \nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi, \xi \rangle = \langle \nabla_X \xi, \nabla_Y \xi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the strongly normal unit vector field can be characterized by the equation

$$(\nabla_X A_\xi) Y = \langle A_\xi X, A_\xi Y \rangle \xi \quad (8)$$

for all $X, Y \in \xi^\perp$.

The strong normality condition highly simplifies the second fundamental form of $\xi(M^n) \subset T_1M^n$. An orthonormal frame e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n is called *adapted* to the field ξ if $e_1 = \xi$ and $e_2, \dots, e_n \in \xi^\perp$.

Lemma 3. *Let ξ be a unit strongly normal vector field on Riemannian manifold M^n . With respect to the adapted frame, the matricial components of the second fundamental form of $\xi(M^n) \subset T_1(M^n)$ simultaneously take the form*

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{N}} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & \dots & * \\ * & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ * & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof: Set $N_\sigma = e_\sigma$ ($\sigma = 2, \dots, n$). The condition (8) implies

$$R(X, Y)\xi = 0, \quad Hess_\xi(X, Y) = \langle A_\xi X, A_\xi Y \rangle \xi, \quad Hm_\xi(X, Y) \sim \xi$$

for all $X, Y \in \xi^\perp$. Therefore, with respect to the adapted frame

$$\tilde{\Omega}_\sigma(\xi_* e_\alpha, \xi_* e_\beta) = 0 \quad (\alpha, \beta = 2, \dots, n)$$

for all $\sigma = 2, \dots, n$. □

The following assertion is a natural corollary of the Lemma 3 .

Theorem 2. *Let ξ be a unit strongly normal vector field. Denote by k the geodesic curvature of its integral trajectories and by ν the principal normal unit vector field of the trajectories. The field ξ is minimal if and only if*

$$k[\xi, \nu] + \xi(k)\nu - kA_\xi R(\nu, \xi)\xi + k^2\xi = 0$$

where $[\xi, \nu] = \nabla_\xi \nu - \nabla_\nu \xi$.

Proof: Indeed,

$$\tilde{\Omega}_\sigma(\xi_* e_1, \xi_* e_1) = -\langle Hess_\xi(\xi, \xi) + A_\xi Hm_\xi(\xi, \xi), e_\sigma \rangle$$

Denote by ν a vector field of the principal normals of ξ -integral trajectories and by k their geodesic curvature function. Then

$$Hess_\xi(\xi, \xi) = \nabla_{\nabla_\xi \xi} - \nabla_\xi \nabla_\xi \xi = k\nabla_\nu \xi - \nabla_\xi(k\nu) = k[\nu, \xi] - \xi(k)\nu,$$

$$Hm_\xi(\xi, \xi) = -R(\xi, \nabla_\xi \xi)\xi = -kR(\xi, \nu)\xi$$

and we get

$$\tilde{\Omega}_\sigma(\xi_* e_1, \xi_* e_1) = \langle k[\xi, \nu] + \xi(k)\nu - kA_\xi R(\nu, \xi)\xi, e_\sigma \rangle.$$

Finally, to be minimal, the field ξ should satisfy

$$k[\xi, \nu] + \xi(k)\nu - kA_\xi R(\nu, \xi)\xi = \lambda \xi.$$

Multiplying by ξ , we get

$$\lambda = k\langle [\xi, \nu], \xi \rangle = k\langle \nabla_\xi \nu, \xi \rangle = -k^2,$$

which completes the proof. □

Thus, we get the following.

Corollary 2. [16] *Every unit strongly normal geodesic vector field is minimal.*

Most of examples of minimal unit vector fields in [16] are based on this Corollary.

4. The Case of a Unit Sphere

If the manifold is a unit sphere S^{n+1} , the equation (7) can be essentially simplified.

Lemma 4. *A unit (local) vector field ξ on a unit sphere S^{n+1} generates a totally geodesic submanifold $\xi(S^{n+1}) \subset T_1 S^{n+1}$ if and only if ξ satisfies*

$$(\nabla_X A_\xi)Y = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\mathcal{L}_\xi g)(X, Y) A_\xi \xi + \langle \xi, X \rangle (A_\xi^2 Y + Y) + \langle \xi, Y \rangle (A_\xi^2 X - X) \right] + \langle A_\xi X, A_\xi Y \rangle \xi, \quad (9)$$

where $(\mathcal{L}_\xi g)(X, Y) = \langle \nabla_X \xi, Y \rangle + \langle X, \nabla_Y \xi \rangle$ is a Lie derivative of metric tensor in a direction of ξ .

Proof: Indeed, on a unit sphere

$$(\nabla_Y A_\xi)X - (\nabla_X A_\xi)Y = R(X, Y)\xi = \langle \xi, Y \rangle X - \langle \xi, X \rangle Y.$$

Hence,

$$Hess_\xi(X, Y) = (\nabla_X A_\xi)Y + \frac{1}{2} [\langle \xi, Y \rangle X - \langle \xi, X \rangle Y].$$

For $Hm_\xi(X, Y)$ we have

$$Hm_\xi(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\langle \nabla_X \xi, Y \rangle \xi - \langle \xi, Y \rangle \nabla_X \xi + \langle \nabla_Y \xi, X \rangle \xi - \langle \xi, X \rangle \nabla_Y \xi \right] = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{L}_\xi g)(X, Y) \xi + \frac{1}{2} [\langle \xi, Y \rangle A_\xi X + \langle \xi, X \rangle A_\xi Y].$$

Finally, we find

$$(\nabla_X A_\xi)Y = \frac{1}{2} \left[(\mathcal{L}_\xi g)(X, Y) A_\xi \xi + \langle \xi, X \rangle (A_\xi^2 Y + Y) + \langle \xi, Y \rangle (A_\xi^2 X - X) \right] + \langle A_\xi X, A_\xi Y \rangle \xi.$$

□

Remind that the operator A_ξ is symmetric if and only if the field ξ is holonomic, and is skew-symmetric if and only if the field ξ is a Killing vector field. Both types of these fields can be included into a class of *covariantly normal* unit vector fields.

Definition 4. A regular unit vector field on Riemannian manifold is said to be covariantly normal if the operator $A_\xi : TM \rightarrow \xi^\perp$ defined by $A_\xi X = -\nabla_X \xi$ satisfies the normality condition

$$A_\xi^t A_\xi = A_\xi A_\xi^t$$

with respect to some orthonormal frame.

The integral trajectories of holonomic and Killing unit vector fields are always geodesic. Every covariantly normal unit vector field possesses this property.

Lemma 5. *Integral trajectories of a covariantly normal unit vector field are geodesic lines.*

Proof: Suppose ξ is a unit covariantly normal vector field on a Riemannian manifold M^{n+1} . Find a unit vector field ν_1 such that

$$\nabla_\xi \xi = -k\nu_1.$$

Geometrically, the function k is a geodesic curvature of the integral trajectory of the field ξ .

Complete up the pair (ξ, ν_1) to the orthonormal frame $(\xi, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$. Then we can set

$$\nabla_\xi \xi = -k\nu_1, \quad \nabla_{\nu_\alpha} \xi = -a_\alpha^\beta \nu_\beta,$$

where $\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n$. With respect to the frame $(\xi, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ the matrix A_ξ takes the form

$$-A_\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_1^1 & a_2^1 & \dots & a_n^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_1^n & a_2^n & \dots & a_n^n \end{pmatrix}$$

and as a consequence

$$-A_\xi^t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ k & a_1^1 & a_2^1 & \dots & a_n^1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_n^1 & a_n^2 & \dots & a_n^n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$A_\xi A_\xi^t = \begin{pmatrix} k^2 & ka_1^1 & \dots & ka_1^n \\ ka_1^1 & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ ka_1^n & * & \dots & * \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_\xi^t A_\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & * & \dots & * \end{pmatrix}$$

and we conclude $k = 0$.

□

Theorem 3 is a correct and simplified version of Theorem 2.1 [27], where the normality of the operator A_ξ was implicitly used in a proof.

In the case of a *weaker condition* on the field ξ to be only a *geodesic* one, the result is not so definite. We begin with some preparations.

The almost complex structure on TM^n is defined by

$$JX^h = X^v, \quad JX^v = -X^h$$

for all vector field X on M^n . Thus, TM^n with Sasaki metric is an almost Kählerian manifold. It is Kählerian if and only if M^n is flat [9].

The unit tangent bundle T_1M^n is a hypersurface in TM^n with a unit normal vector ξ^v at each point $(q, \xi) \in T_1M^n$. Define a unit vector field $\bar{\xi}$, a 1-form $\bar{\eta}$ and a $(1, 1)$ tensor field $\bar{\varphi}$ on T_1M^n by

$$\bar{\xi} = -J\xi^v = \xi^h, \quad JX = \bar{\varphi}X + \bar{\eta}(X)\xi^v.$$

The triple $(\bar{\xi}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{\varphi})$ form a standard almost contact structure on T_1M^n with Sasaki metric g_S . This structure is not almost contact *metric* one. By taking

$$\tilde{\xi} = 2\bar{\xi} = 2\xi^h, \quad \tilde{\eta} = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\eta}, \quad \tilde{\varphi} = \bar{\varphi}, \quad g_{cm} = \frac{1}{4}g_S$$

at each point $(q, \xi) \in T_1M^n$, we get the *almost contact metric structure* $(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\varphi})$ on (T_1M^n, g_{cm}) .

In a case of a general almost contact metric manifold $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$ the following definition is known [7].

Definition 5. A submanifold N of a contact metric manifold $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$ is called invariant if $\tilde{\varphi}(T_pN) \subset T_pN$ and anti-invariant if $\tilde{\varphi}(T_pN) \subset (T_pN)^\perp$ for every $p \in N$.

If N is the invariant submanifold, then the characteristic vector field $\tilde{\xi}$ is *tangent* to N at each of its points.

After all mentioned above, the following definition is natural [3].

Definition 6. A unit vector field ξ on a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is called invariant (anti-invariant) if the submanifold $\xi(M^n) \subset (T_1M^n, g_{cm})$ is invariant (anti-invariant).

It is easy to see from (4) that the *invariant* unit vector field is always a geodesic one, i.e. its integral trajectories are geodesic lines.

Binh T.Q., Boeckx E. and Vanhecke L. have considered this kind of unit vector fields [3] and proved the following theorem.

Theorem 4. A unit vector field ξ on (M^n, g) is invariant if and only if $(\tilde{\xi} = \xi, \tilde{\eta} = \langle \cdot, \xi \rangle_g, \tilde{\varphi} = A_\xi)$ is an almost contact structure on M^n . In particular, ξ is a geodesic vector field on M^n and $n = 2m + 1$.

Now we can formulate the result.

Theorem 5. *A unit geodesic vector field ξ on S^{n+1} is totally geodesic if and only if $n = 2m$ and ξ is a strongly normal invariant unit vector field.*

Proof: Suppose ξ is a geodesic and totally geodesic unit vector field. Then $A_\xi \xi = 0$ and the equation (9) takes the form (10). Follow the proof of the Theorem 3, we come to the following conditions on the field ξ :

$$A_\xi^2 X = -X, \quad (\nabla_X A_\xi)Y = \langle A_\xi X, A_\xi Y \rangle \xi \quad (11)$$

for all $X, Y \in \xi^\perp$. From (11)₁ we conclude that $n = 2m$. Comparing (11)₂ with (8), we see that ξ is a strongly normal vector field.

Consider now a (1, 1) tensor field $\varphi = A_\xi = -\nabla \xi$ and a 1-form $\eta = \langle \cdot, \xi \rangle$. Taking into account (11)₁ and $A_\xi \xi = 0$, we see that

$$\varphi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \varphi \xi = 0, \quad \eta(\varphi X) = 0, \quad \eta(X) = 1$$

for any vector field X on the sphere. Therefore, the triple

$$\tilde{\varphi} = A_\xi, \quad \tilde{\xi} = \xi, \quad \tilde{\eta} = \langle \cdot, \xi \rangle$$

form an *almost contact structure* with the field ξ as a characteristic vector field of this structure. By Theorem 4, the field ξ is invariant.

Conversely, suppose ξ is strongly normal and invariant on S^{n+1} . Then, by Theorem 4, ξ is geodesic and $n = 2m$. The rest of the proof is a direct checking of the formula (10). \square

5. A Remarkable Property of the Hopf Vector Field

It is well-known that for a unit sphere S^n the standard contact metric structure on $T_1 S^n$ is a Sasakian one. If ξ is a Hopf unit vector field on S^{2m+1} , then ξ is a characteristic vector field of a standard contact metric structure on the unit sphere S^{2m+1} . By Theorem 4, the submanifold $\xi(S^{2m+1})$ is invariant submanifold in $T_1 S^{2m+1}$. Therefore, $\xi(S^{2m+1})$ is also Sasakian with respect to the induced structure [29]. Since the Hopf vector field is strongly normal, by Theorem 5, the submanifold $\xi(S^{2m+1})$ is totally geodesic. The sectional curvature of the submanifold $\xi(S^{2m+1})$ was found in [27] and implies a remarkable corollary.

Theorem 6. *Let ξ be a Hopf vector field on the unit sphere S^{2m+1} . With respect to the induced structure, the manifold $\xi(S^{2m+1})$ is a Sasakian space form of φ -curvature $5/4$.*

In other words, the Hopf vector field provides an example of embedding of a Sasakian space form of φ -curvature 1 into Sasakian manifold such that the image is contact, totally geodesic Sasakian space form of φ -curvature $5/4$ with respect to the induced structure.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks the Organizing Committee of the Conference for a partial financial support and the Euro-Commission for a travel grant. A special gratitude to professor Ivailo Mladenov for invitation and hospitality.

References

- [1] Aminov Yu., *The Geometry of Vector Fields*, Gordon and Breach Publ., 2000.
- [2] Besse A., *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [3] Binh T.Q., Boeckx E. and Vanhecke L., *Invariant and Anti-invariant Unit Vector Fields*, to appear
- [4] Boeckx E. and Vanhecke L., *Harmonic and Minimal Vector Fields on Tangent and Unit Tangent Bundles*, *Differential Geom. Appl.* **13** (2000) 77–93.
- [5] Boeckx E. and Vanhecke L., *Harmonic and Minimal Radial Vector Fields*, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **90** (2001) 317–331.
- [6] Boeckx E. and Vanhecke L., *Isoparametric Functions and Harmonic and Minimal Unit Vector Fields*, *Contemporary Math.* **288** (2001) 20–31.
- [7] Blair D., *Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds*, *Progress in Mathematics* **203**, Birkhäuser, 2001.
- [8] Brito F., Chacon P. and Naveira A., *On the Volume of Vector Fields on Spaces of Constant Sectional Curvature*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **79** (2004) 300–316.
- [9] Dombrowski P., *On the Geometry of Tangent Bundle*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **210/1-2** (1962) 73–88.
- [10] Eels J. and Lemaire L., *A Report on Harmonic Maps*, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **20** (1978) 385–524.
- [11] Gil-Medrano O., *Relationship between Volume and Energy of Unit Vector Fields*, *Diff. Geom. Appl.*, **15** (2001) 137–152.
- [12] Gil-Medrano O. and Llinares-Fuster E., *Second Variation of Volume and Energy of Vector Fields. Stability of Hopf Vector Fields*, *Math. Ann.* **320** (2001) 531–545.
- [13] Gil-Medrano O., González-Dávila J.C. and Vanhecke L., *Harmonic and Minimal Invariant Unit Vector Fields on Homogeneous Riemannian Manifolds*, *Houston J. Math.* **27** (2001) 377–409.
- [14] Gil-Medrano O. and Llinares-Fuster E., *Minimal Unit Vector Fields*, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **54** (2002) 71 – 84.
- [15] Gluck H. and Ziller W., *On the Volume of a Unit Vector Field on the Three-Sphere*, *Comm. Math. Helv.* **61** (1986) 177–192.
- [16] González-Dávila J.C. and Vanhecke L., *Examples of Minimal Unit Vector Fields*, *Ann Global Anal. Geom.* **18** (2000), 385 – 404.
- [17] González-Dávila J.C. and Vanhecke L., *Minimal and Harmonic Characteristic Vector Fields on Three-Dimensional Contact Riemannian Manifolds*, *Journal of Geometry* **72** (2001) 65–76.

-
- [18] Kowalski O., *Curvature of the Induced Riemannian Metric on the Tangent Bundle of a Riemannian Manifold*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **250** (1971) 124–129.
- [19] Pedersen S., *Volumes of Vector Fields on Spheres*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **336** (1993) 69–78.
- [20] Sasaki S., *On the Differential Geometry of Tangent Bundles of Riemannian Manifolds*, Tôhoku Math. J. **10** (1958) 338–354.
- [21] Tsukada K. and Vanhecke L., *Invariant Minimal Unit Vector Fields on Lie Groups*, Period. Math. Hungar. **40** (2000) 123–133.
- [22] Tsukada K. and Vanhecke L., *Minimal and Harmonic Vector Fields on $G_2(C^{m+2})$ and Its Dual Space*, Monatsh. Math. **130** (2000) 143–154.
- [23] Tsukada K. and Vanhecke L., *Minimality and Harmonicity for Hopf Vector Fields*, Illinois J. Math. **45** (2001) 441–451.
- [24] Weigmink G., *Total Bending of Vector Fields on Riemannian Manifolds*, Math. Ann. **303** (1995) 325 – 344.
- [25] Yampolsky A., *On the Mean Curvature of a Unit Vector Field*, Math. Publ. Debrecen, **60/1-2** (2002) 131 – 155.
- [26] Yampolsky A., *On the Intrinsic Geometry of a Unit Vector Field*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **43/2** (2002) 299–317.
- [27] Yampolsky A., *A Totally Geodesic Property of Hopf Vector Fields*, Acta Math. Hungar. **101/1-2** (2003) 73–92.
- [28] Yampolsky A., *Full Description of Totally Geodesic Unit Vector Fields on 2-dimensional Riemannian Manifold*, Matematicheskaya Fizika, Analiz, Geometriya **11/3** (2004) 355–365.
- [29] Yano K. and Kon M., *CR-submanifolds of Kählerian and Sasakian Manifolds*, Birkhäuser, 1983.